

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/729,097	SILVERBROOK ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Jason T. Whipkey	2612	

**All Participants:**

(1) Jason T. Whipkey.

(2) Kia Silverbrook.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) Paul Lapstun.

(4) Simon Robert Walmsley.

**Date of Interview:** 29 November 2005

**Time:** via facsimile

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
N/A

Claims discussed:  
3,4,5,6,8,25 and 27


Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**  
*The examiner faxed the first attachment to Applicant, and Applicant responded with the second attachment.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 NGOC-YEN VU  
 PRIMARY EXAMINER

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)